Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs March 2025 Semi-Annual Review Adjustment Request Descriptions

Cancellations

Local jurisdictions may request to cancel Comprehensive Transportation Funding Programs (CTFP) projects at any time for any reason. Cancelled projects are eligible to reapply upon resolution of the issues that led to the original project cancellation. During this semi-annual review cycle, the following cancellation request was submitted.

The City of Santa Ana (Santa Ana) is requesting a cancellation for the construction phase of the Fairview Street Improvements Project from 9th Street to 16th Street (21-SNTA-ACE-3996) due to construction costs increasing to more than double the engineer estimated costs with increased costs of material supplies and labor inflation.

Delays

Local jurisdictions may request a one-time delay of up to 24 months to obligate funds. During the March 2025 semi-annual review cycle, the following delay requests were submitted.

The City of Mission Viejo (Mission Viejo) is requesting a 24-month delay for the operations and maintenance (O&M) phase for the following two Regional Traffic Signal Synchronization Program (RTSSP) projects. Additional time is required due to construction-related delays during the implementation (IMP) phase including establishing multiple traffic signal service points, anticipated equipment lead times, traffic count data collection, and specialized traffic signal cabinet design.

- O&M phase of Marguerite Parkway RTSSP Project (23-MVJO-TSP-4048)
- O&M phase of Olympiad Road/Felipe Road RTSSP Project (23-MVJO-TSP-4049)

The City of Yorba Linda (Yorba Linda) is requesting a 24-month delay for the right-of-way phase for the Yorba Linda Boulevard/Savi Ranch Parkway Improvements Project (24-YLND-ICE-4065) due to delays with the federal environmental clearance process under the National Environment Policy Act.

The City of Los Alamitos is requesting a 24-month delay for the initial marketing, capital, and O&M phases for the Los Alamitos On-Demand Service (24-LSAL-CBT-4078) due to procurement process challenges in awarding a vendor contract.

Local Fair Share (LFS) Timely Use of Funds Extensions

Once issued, LFS funds expire three years from the check issuance date. An extension may be granted but is limited to a total of five years from the date of disbursement. For review purposes, the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) tracks expenditures based on the fiscal year¹ (FY) of receipt. Requests for extensions must be submitted prior to expiration and should be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to

-

¹ FY means July 1 through June 30. For example, funds received in March 2023, tracked by FY, should be spent by June 30, 2025.

the end of the second FY funds were disbursed. Local jurisdictions may request an extension(s) of up to two years for OCTA Board of Directors (Board) consideration; however, OCTA will track Board-approved extensions in FY increments. During this review cycle, the following LFS timely use of funds extension requests were submitted.

The City of Buena Park (Buena Park) is requesting a two-year timely use of funds extension of \$2,097,881. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2022-23 through six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment B. Buena Park indicated that these funds will be directed towards traffic signal improvements and citywide street rehabilitation improvements.

- \$387,444, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$360,484, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$327,808, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$356,440, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$321,693, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$344,012, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Costa Mesa (Costa Mesa) is requesting a two-year timely use of funds extension of \$1,719,614. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2022-23 through three separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment B. Costa Mesa indicated these funds will be directed towards citywide street rehabilitation improvements.

- \$593,335, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$539,552, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$586,727, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of La Habra (La Habra) is requesting a two-year timely use of funds extension of \$1,251,960. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2022-23 through three separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment B. La Habra indicated these funds will be directed towards citywide street rehabilitation improvements.

- \$231,213, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$215,124, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$195,624, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$212,729, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$191,975, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$205,294, from June 2025 to June 2027

Santa Ana is requesting a two-year timely use of funds extension of \$1,478,018. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2022-23 through two separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment B. Santa Ana indicated these funds will be directed towards traffic signal improvements, citywide street rehabilitation improvements, and city street bicycle lane improvements.

- \$459,697, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$1,018,321, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Seal Beach (Seal Beach) is requesting a two-year timely use of funds extension of \$556,194. The funds being considered for extensions were disbursed in FY 2022-23 through six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadlines provided in Attachment B. Seal Beach indicated these funds will be directed towards city street bicycle lane improvements.

- \$102,718, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$95,571, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$86,908, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$94,507, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$85,287, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$91,204, from June 2025 to June 2027

Senior Mobility Program (SMP) Timely Use of Funds Extensions

Once issued, SMP funds expire 36 months from the check issuance date. An extension may be granted but is limited to a total of five years from the date of disbursement. For review purposes, OCTA tracks expenditures based on the FY of receipt. Requests for extensions must be submitted prior to expiration and should be submitted as part of the semi-annual review process prior to the end of the second FY funds were disbursed. Local jurisdictions may request an extension(s) of up to two years for Board consideration; however, OCTA will track Board-approved extensions in FY increments. During this review cycle, the following SMP timely use of funds extension requests were submitted:

The City of Aliso Viejo is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$23,983. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as five separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$405, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$5,863, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$6,352, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$5,491, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$5,872, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Anaheim (Anaheim) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$393,657. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$71,631, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$66,647, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$63,501, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$68,800, from June 2025 to June 2027

- \$59,475, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$63,602, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Dana Point is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$71,932. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$13,089, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$12,178, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$11,603, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$12,572, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$10,868, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$11,622, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Garden Grove (Garden Grove) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$227,183. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$41,339, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$38,463, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$36,647, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$39,705, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$34,324, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$36,705, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Laguna Niguel is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$125,158. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as seven separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$17,396, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$19,609, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$18,244, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$17,383, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$18,834, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$16,281, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$17,411, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Lake Forest (Lake Forest) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$47,563. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as three separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$17,054, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$14,743, from June 2025 to June 2027

• \$15,766, from June 2025 to June 2027

Mission Viejo is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$168,953. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$30,743, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$28,604, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$27,254, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$29,528, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$25,526, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$27,297, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Orange is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$182,629. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$33,232, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$30,919, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$29,460, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$31,918, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$27,592, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$29,507, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of San Clemente is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$105,221. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$19,146, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$17,814, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$16,973, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$18,390, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$15,897, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$17,000, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Stanton (Stanton) is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$33,693. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$3,298, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$7,558, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$8,188, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$7,079, from June 2025 to June 2027

• \$7,570, from June 2025 to June 2027

The City of Villa Park is requesting a 24-month timely use of funds extension for \$16,060. The funds being considered for extension were disbursed in FY 2022-23 as six separate installments and should be expended by the FY extension deadline provided in Attachment B.

- \$2,922, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$2,719, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$2,591, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$2,807, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$2,426, from June 2025 to June 2027
- \$2.595. from June 2025 to June 2027

Scope Changes

Local jurisdictions may request minor scope changes for CTFP projects if they can assure that project benefits as committed to in the initial application can still be delivered. During this semi-annual review cycle, the following scope change requests were submitted. OCTA staff reviewed each scope change to assure that the adjustments meet the scoring criteria of the original applications.

Anaheim is requesting a scope change for the construction phase of the FY 2023/2024 Catch Basin Screen Installation Project (23-ANAH-ECP-4050) to decrease the number of connector pipe screens (CPS) to 252 from 287, decrease the number of full trash capture (FTC) units to seven from 22, and decrease the number of automatic retractable screens to 19 from 21 where 15 catch basins originally assigned CPS will be receiving FTC instead due to construction issues with pre-existing CPS installations and inaccessible installation locations.

Costa Mesa is requesting a scope change for the construction phase of the 2022 Connector Pipe Screen Installation Project (22-CMSA-ECP-4035) to decrease the number of CPS to 161 from 300 due to difficulties in reaching installation areas and increased material costs from inflation.

Garden Grove is requesting a scope change for the construction phase of the Garden Grove Boulevard and Harbor Boulevard Intersection Capacity Enhancement Project (24-GGRV-ICE-4061) to modify the concrete bus pad, additional curb and gutter, and sidewalk improvements. These modifications are necessary to address changes to the median alignment and to maintain a 12-foot travel lane after the realignment of the median which is causing southbound vehicles in the third lane to encroach on the existing bus pad. The scope change also relocates a bus shelter, bus bench, and trash receptacle further south as a result of the southward shifting of the point of entry to the bus pullout area. No additional funding is requested.

The City of Irvine (Irvine) is requesting a scope change for the IMP phase of the Barranca Parkway Traffic Signal Synchronization Project (20-IRVN-TSP-3974) to modify

32 installation locations of various traffic management systems, including closed circuit television cameras, video detection systems, pedestrian modules, fiber optic cables, and traffic signal controllers. These changes are necessary to align with project needs and cost constraints while utilizing existing infrastructure where it is feasible.

Mission Viejo is requesting a scope change for the O&M phase of the Mission Viejo Local Transit Circulator Service (16-OCTA-CBT-3836) to expand local circulator services to the special events as follows: Arbor Day in April to operate two shuttles for five-hour shifts from an offsite location to event location and National Night Out in July or August to operate two shuttles for eight-hour shifts from City Hall to Youth Athletic Park. This modification is requested to increase service offerings. No additional funding is requested.

OCTA, as administrative lead for the County of Orange and the cities of Irvine, Laguna Hills, and Lake Forest is requesting a scope change for the Lake Forest Drive RTSSP Project (19-OCTA-TSP-3940). The scope change removes an Actelis ML 680DF Ethernet Switch from being installed on the intersection of Lake Forest Drive and Dimension Drive due to the equipment being installed as part of a separate city-led project administered by Lake Forest.

Stanton is requesting a scope change for the construction phase of the Western Storm Channel Grate Replacement Project (24-STAN-ECP-4094) to modify a custom inlet grate, which is needed to enhance the project benefits through enhanced stormwater capture, reduction of debris, and improved water quality. No additional funding is requested.

Yorba Linda is requesting a scope change for the IMP phase of the Yorba Linda Boulevard/Weir Canyon Road RTSSP Project (22-YLND-TSP-4026) to extend fiber optic communications on five intersections along Casa Loma Avenue from Yorba Linda Boulevard to the Yorba Linda City Hall Traffic Management Center, replace the originally planned installation of 72-strand single-mode fiber optic (SMFO) cable with 120-strand SMFO cable, and install fiber optic cable in existing conduit to connect the city's five project intersection. These changes are necessary to improve signal operations and communication network functionality. No additional funding is requested.

OCTA-Initiated Requests – Transfers

The CTFP guidelines allow jurisdictions to request to transfer up to 100 percent of savings of funds between subsequent phases or years within a project. Funds can only be transferred to a phase or year that has already been awarded competitive funds. Such requests must be made prior to the acceptance of a final report and submitted as part of the semi-annual review process. During this review cycle, the following transfer requests were submitted due to the need to utilize project savings.

Approval of 13 transfers of savings is requested for the 2024 Project V call for projects grants. Several continuing services awarded under the recent call for projects had unspent Measure M2 balances from prior funding cycles. In accordance with program guidelines, these remaining balances may be expended before the expiration of their respective cooperative agreement terms, allowing jurisdictions to utilize prior funds before drawing from their newly awarded 2024 Project V allocations. Additionally, local

jurisdictions experienced extended lead times for capital vehicle purchases, which further postponed the operational start dates for certain 2024 Project V services.

The CTFP Guidelines allow agencies to transfer 100 percent of funds between subsequent phases or years within a project. Funds can only be transferred to a phase or year that has already been awarded competitive funds. Such requests must be made prior to the acceptance of a final report and submitted as part of the semi-annual review. During this cycle, staff is requesting project savings from all FYs moving forward from the initial marketing, capital, and O&M phases in amounts to be determined to be distributed to the immediate subsequent year on a go forward basis for the following Project V grants:

- Anaheim Canyon Circulator (24-ANAH-CBT-4071)
- Dana Point Trolley Continuity Program (24-DPNT-CBT-4073)
- Irvine Special Event Circulator (24-IRVN-CBT-4074)
- Laguna Beach Off-Season Weekend and Seasonal Service (24-LBCH-CBT-4075)
- Laguna Canyon Road/El Toro Road Laguna Local Service (24-LBCH-CBT-4076)
- Laguna Niguel Summer Trolley (24-LNIG-CBT-4077)
- Los Alamitos On-Demand Service (24-LSAL-CBT-4078)
- Mission Viejo Circulator and Special Event (24-MVJO-CBT-4079)
- Balboa Peninsula Trolley Service Continuation (24-NBCH-CBT-4080)
- Expanded RanchRide Transit Service Program (24-ORCO-CBT-4072)
- San Clemente Trolley Program (24-SCLM-CBT-4081)
- San Clemente On-Demand Transit Programs (24-SCLM-CBT-4082)
- San Juan Capistrano Expanded Summer Weekend and Special Event Trolley Service (24-SJCP-CBT-4083)

Approval of one technical adjustment is also requested for the Dana Point Trolley Continuity Program (20-DNPT-CBT-3959) in order to align Year 1 (FY 2021-22), Year 2 (FY 2022-23), and Year 3 (FY 2023-24) funding allocations with the revised service implementation timeline. Due to operational suspensions resulting from the coronavirus pandemic, the 2020 Project V grant was initiated in FY 2023-24. Staff is requesting approval to combine the funding for FY 2021-22, FY 2022-23, and FY 2023-24 into one aggregate allocation for under FY 2023-24, consistent with the start date of the project. The proposed modification will be accommodated within the existing 2020 approved grant programming and program limits.