

**Review of Proposals**  
**RFP 0-2604 Preliminary Engineering and Environmental Services for the Irvine Station Improvements Project**  
Presented to the Transit Committee - July 8, 2021

**5 proposals were received, 3 firms were interviewed, 1 firm is being recommended**

Overall Ranking	Overall Score	Firm & Location	Subcontractors	Evaluation Committee Comments
<b>1</b>	84	AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Orange, California	Leighton Group, Inc. Moffatt & Nichol Rail Surveyors & Engineers, Inc. Value Management Services, Inc.	Firm has recent and relevant experience managing and delivering transportation projects of similar size and scope. Qualified cohesive team, with comprehensive understanding of project issues, including project manager, key personnel, technical staff, and subconsultants with experience working together on recent preliminary engineering and environmental (PA/ED) projects. Project manager has demonstrated experience planning, managing and successfully delivering transportation projects from preliminary studies through final design. Detailed work plan identifying key issues, providing sound recommendations, and viable solutions. Proposed a detailed construction phasing approach to minimize right-of-way, rail, and facility operational impacts. Comprehensive team presentation and interview with project specific responses to all questions.
<b>2</b>	82	STV Incorporated Irvine, California	Arellano Associates Coast Surveying, Inc. Epic Land Solutions, Inc. Group Delta Consultants, Inc. HNTB Corporation LSA Associates, Inc. NUVIS Safeprobe, Inc. Safety Environmental Consulting Value Management Strategies, Inc.	Firm has recent and relevant experience managing and delivering transportation projects of similar size and scope. Qualified team, with understanding of the Project issues, including project manager, key personnel, and subconsultants working together on recent PA/ED projects. Project manager is qualified with relevant experience providing design services for rail, transit, roadway, and infrastructure projects, but limited experience as a project manager. Comprehensive work plan that demonstrated an understanding of the project design requirements, constraints, challenges, and risk. Proposed two types of underpass structures and described the pros/cons for each, including constructability, maintainability, and cost. Detailed team presentation and interview with responsive answers to all questions.
<b>3</b>	77	T.Y. Lin International Los Angeles, California	Bargas Environmental Consulting Earth Mechanics, Inc. Epic Land Solutions, Inc. Group Delta Consultants, Inc. Gruen Associates Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc. Kal Kasishman Consulting Services, Inc. Krebs Corporation LSA Associates, Inc. Value Management Strategies, Inc. Wagner Engineering & Survey, Inc.	Firm has recent and relevant experience managing and delivering transportation projects of similar size and scope. Qualified experience team, including project manager, key personnel, and subconsultants with relevant experience working together on recent PA/ED projects. Project manager is qualified with relevant experience managing and providing commuter, high-speed, and rail transit projects, but limited experience as a project manager for environmental projects. Work plan identified key issues, recommendations, challenges and potential solutions that demonstrated an understanding of the scope of work. Proposed a viable construction phasing approach that identified steps on the construction of each phase to mitigate impacts to station operations. The proposed structure lead presented in the interview was different than the one identified in the technical proposal. Thorough team presentation and interview with general responses to interview questions.

**Evaluation Panel: 6 Members**

Internal:  
Contracts Administration and Materials Management (1)  
Capital Programs (2)  
Planning (1)  
External:  
City of Irvine (1)  
Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA) (1)

**Evaluation Criteria:**

Qualifications of the Firm  
Staffing and Project Organization  
Work Plan

**Weight Factors**

20%  
40%  
40%