Review of Proposals

RFP 0-2729 Security Program Review and Update

Presented to the Executive Committee on May 3, 2021

10 proposals were received, 2 firms were interviewed, 1 firm is being recommended

Overall Ranking	Proposal Score	Firm & Location	Sub-Contractors	Evaluation Committee Comments	Firm-Fixed Price Total
1	86	ADS System Safety Consulting, Inc.	iParametrics, LLC	The firm has provided risk-based safety and security consulting since 2011.	\$345,692
		Los Angeles, California		The firm has 43 employees and is located in the City of Los Angeles.	
				The firm has worked on identical projects with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit system, Hampton Roads Transit, District of Columbia Department of Transportation DC streetcar system, and the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation.	
				The proposed project manager has more than 15 years of experience.	
				The systems security consultant brings over 20 years of transit safety and security experience.	
				The firm clearly identified an approach to identify recommended countermeasures that can be implemented to improve OCTA's overall risk resiliency.	
				The comment/response matrix to deconflict the draft Master Safety Plan was notable. The project team provided comprehensive responses to the evaluation committee's questions and all team members participated.	
2	82	Guidepost Solutions, LLC	Aanko Technologies, Inc.	The firm was founded in 2010 as a global investigations and security company.	\$346,000
		Los Angeles, California		The firm has 200 employees and is located in the City of Los Angeles. Worked with transit companies providing a threat and vulnerability assessment for the Metro Transit District in King County, additionally provided a Safety and Security Management Plan for the Madison Street G Line in King County.	
				The proposed project manager has 37 years of experience in security operations and technology.	
				The technical lead has over 40 years of experience with relevant projects.	
				Provided good details on how they would evaluate physical security elements by using principles of natural surveillance.	
				The firm responded to all questions during the interview, but not all members participated in the discussions.	

Evaluation Committee	Evaluation Criteria	Weight Factors
Internal:		
Contracts Administration and Materials Management (1)	Qualifications of the Firm	25 percent
Security and Emergency Preparedness (1)	Staff and Project Organization	25 percent
Safety and Environmental (1)	Work Plan	30 percent
Scheduling and Bus Operations Support (1)	Cost and Price	20 percent
External:		

Superior Court of California, County of Orange (1)