

Review of Proposals
RFP 0-2073 Consultant Services for the Preparation of Plans, Specifications, and Estimates for the State Route 91 Improvement Project Between Acacia Street and La Palma Avenue
 Presented to Regional Planning and Highways Committee - July 6, 2020

4 proposals were received, 2 firms were interviewed, 1 firm is being recommended

Overall Ranking	Overall Score	Firm and Location	Subcontractors	Evaluation Committee Comments
1	89	T.Y. Lin International Irvine, California	Earth Mechanics, Inc. Guida Surveying, Inc. ICF Jones & Stokes, Inc. Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. Lynn Capouya, Inc.	Firm has direct relevant recent experience performing plans, specifications, and estimates (PS&E) and other similar projects. Project manager has experience managing a variety of transportation project approval/environmental document, PS&E, and similar projects. Qualified team with expertise to deliver all tasks required in the scope of work. Work plan demonstrated a comprehensive understanding of the project requirements and potential issues. Proposed an innovative solution to combine the three connectors to optimize operations and reduce costs. Proposed six key refinements with estimated total savings of \$9.6 million in costs and six months in schedule. Valuable discussion of stage construction and traffic handling. Comprehensive interview that demonstrated an experienced cohesive team, presented proposed enhancements clearly, and concise responses to all interview questions.
2	83	AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Orange, California	Advanced Civil Technologies PSOMAS ICF Jones & Stokes, Inc. Tatsumi and Partners C Below	Firm has suitable relevant experience managing transportation projects of similar scope and size. Project manager is well-qualified with relevant experience managing major transportation project approval/environmental documentation (PA/ED), PS&E, and other similar projects. Qualified key personnel and subconsultants with proven experience delivering different phases of design projects. Work plan demonstrated a sound understanding of project objectives, requirements, and challenges. Fine interview that demonstrated an experienced cohesive team and good responses to all interview questions.

Evaluation Panel: (6 Members)

Internal:

Contracts Administration and Materials Management (1)

Highway Programs (2)

External:

California Department of Transportation (2)

City of Anaheim (1)

Evaluation Criteria:

Qualifications of the Firm

Staffing and Project Organization

Work Plan

Weight Factors

25%

40%

35%