

Review of Proposals
RFP 8-1512 Program Management Consultant Services for Regional Rail Programs
PRESENTED TO THE TRANSIT COMMITTEE - AUGUST 9, 2018
4 proposals were received, 2 firms were interviewed, 1 firm is being recommended

Overall Ranking	Proposal Score	Firm & Location	Subconsultants	Evaluation Committee Comments
1	86	HDR Engineering, Inc. Irvine, California	AP Engineering & Testing BA Inc. Civil Works Engineers, Inc. Cogstone Resource Management Inc. DB Engineering & Consulting USA Inc. InfraStrategies LLC Mott MacDonald, LLC Meadows Consulting PreScience Corporation Project Design Consultants Tri-County Drilling VSCE Inc.	Highest-ranked firm overall. Very good qualifications and relevant experience providing program management consultant services for rail projects. Project manager is well-qualified with relevant experience. Highly-qualified, dedicated expert leads for engineering, construction management, planning, and environmental disciplines. Highly-qualified staff with technical expertise in all areas of scope of work. Good work plan that addressed and provided an approach for each task. Excellent interview with well organized, detailed, and thorough responses to all interview questions.
2	79	RailPros, Inc. Irvine, California	Anil Verma and Associates Epic Land Solutions MTGL Stantec Consulting Services Transportation Analytic Services Urban Engineers Virginkar & Associates	Second-ranked firm overall. Very good qualifications and experience providing program management consultant services for rail projects. Project manager is well qualified with relevant experience. Qualified staff with technical expertise in all areas of scope of work. Good work plan. General responses to technical interview questions.

Evaluation Panel (5 members):

Internal:
Contracts Administration and Materials Management (1)
Rail Programs (2)
Transportation Planning (1)

External:
Southern California Regional Rail Authority (1)

Evaluation Criteria

Qualifications of the Firm
Staffing and Project Organization
Work Plan

Weight Factors

35 percent
40 percent
25 percent