

Review of Proposals
RFP 7-1546 Vanpool Service Providers
Presented to Transit Committee - June 8, 2017

2 proposals were received, 2 firms were interviewed, 1 firm is being recommended.

Overall Ranking	Proposal Score	Firm & Location	Sub-Contractors	Evaluation Committee Comments	Average Monthly Not-To-Exceed Use Fee
1	84.0	Enterprise Rent-A-Car Company of Los Angeles LLC, dba Enterprise Rideshare Orange, California	None	Highest-ranked firm overall. Established firm with over 22 years of experience providing vanpool services. Demonstrated relevant experience managing all aspects of a vanpool program. Demonstrated clear, organized plan for allocation of staff resources. Proposed project team is experienced and has relevant past experience. Current provider of vanpool services. Has provided vanpool provider services to OCTA for the past 10 years. Extensive experience tracking, preparing and providing NTD reporting data. Large variety of vehicle options for vanpool participants. Excellent references. Proposed competitive monthly Use Fees.	\$ 1,856.62
2	64.0	Green Commuter, Inc. Los Angeles, California	Perera Construction & Design, Inc. RAS Mobile Service	Second-ranked firm. Firm established in 2014 and operations began in 2016. Demonstrated limited experience providing vanpool services. Proposed project team has limited relevant experience. Majority of the proposed project team has been with the firm less than one year. Has only one vanpool in operation Proposed an innovative work plan but there is no proven track record of being successful. Proposed all-electric vehicle for vanpooling, however there is only one vehicle option. Proposed to provide only 10 vehicles for the OCTA vanpool program	\$ 1,666.36

Evaluation Panel:

Internal:

Contracts Administration and Materials Management (1)
Marketing and Customer Engagement (1)
Risk Management (1)
Capital Programming (1)

External:

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (1)

Proposal Criteria

Qualifications of the Firm
Staffing and Project Organization
Work Plan
Cost and Price

Weight Factors

30%
20%
30%
20%