

Review of Proposals

RFP 3-2754 On-Call Construction Management and Engineering Technical Support Services for Transit Facility Projects

Presented to the Transit Committee - February 8, 2024

4 proposals were received, 2 firms were interviewed, 1 firm is being recommended.

Overall Ranking	Proposal Score	Firm & Location	Sub-Contractors	Evaluation Committee Comments
1	84	Hout Construction Services Inc., doing business as Hout Engineering Newport Beach, California	BKF Engineers, Inc. MTGL, Inc. Jeff Oviedo and Associates, Inc.	Firm has recent, relevant experience providing construction management services. Qualified team, including key personnel, technical staff, and subconsultants with experience working together on similar projects. The proposed project team has the experience required by the scope of work. Proposed construction manager has over 35 years of transit project management experience. Proposed construction engineer has 19 years of construction inspection and construction management services experience. Work plan approach demonstrates the firm's clear understanding and focus on key elements of the scope of work. Thorough presentation and participation from all key team members during interview demonstrated strong team cohesiveness. Provided detailed, project specific responses to interview questions further highlighting the team's expertise and understanding of the scope of work. Positive references received.
2	76	Hill International, Inc. Irvine, California	CPM Partners, Inc. Coast Surveying, Inc. GEI Consultants, Inc. MTGL, Inc.	Firm has strong experience providing construction management services on transit facilities projects. Proposed key personnel, technical staff, and subconsultants have the construction management and construction engineering experience required by the scope of work. Proposed construction manager has 38 years of structural and civil engineering experience. Proposed construction engineers have over 20 years combined experience in the construction and engineering industry. Demonstrated an understanding of scope of work. Work plan demonstrated a general understanding of the scope of work but did not discuss the required safety plan. During the interview, the firm discussed approach, including key stakeholders, potential risks, and quality control. Positive references received.

Evaluation Panel:

Internal:
Contracts Administration and Materials Management (1)
Safety and Environmental (1)
Facilities Maintenance Administration (1)
Facilities Engineering (2)

Proposal Criteria

Qualifications of the Firm
Staffing and Project Organization
Work Plan

Weight Factors

25%
40%
35%